

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,550	07/13/2001	Pauli Koutonen	FORSAL-16	3909	
20455	7590 04/11/2002				
LATHROP & CLARK LLP			EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 150	•		NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC		
MADISON, WI 537011507			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3654		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		• 4	•			M	
*			Applicati	nN.	Applicant(s)		
•		•	09/905,5	50	KOUTONEN, PAL	JLI	
	Offic	Action Summary	Examin	r	Art Unit		
			John Q. N	Nguyen	3653		
		ING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence ad	Idress	
Period for F		STATUTORY PERIOD F	:OD DEDI V IS SET 1	O EXDIDE 3 MON	ITH(S) FROM	-	
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	ILING D ns of time n (6) MONTI iod for reply iod for reply reply within received b	OATE OF THIS COMMUN nay be available under the provisions rls from the mailing date of this commy specified above is less than thirty (3 y is specified above, the maximum strong the set or extended period for reply by the Office later than three months adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION.  s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication.  10) days, a reply within the stare attraction of the start of the apply and we will, by statute, cause the apply and we will attraction of the start	vent, however, may a reply tutory minimum of thirty (3 vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS olication to become ABAN	be timely filed  0) days will be considered time 6 from the mailing date of this coonsidered time COONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ty. ommunication.	
1)□ R	espons	ive to communication(s) fi	led on				
2a) <u></u> ⊤	his action	on is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.			
3)□ S	ince thi	s application is in conditio accordance with the prac	n for allowance exceptice under <i>Ex parte</i> G	ot for formal matter Quayle, 1935 C.D.	rs, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
Disposition			·	•			
4)⊠ CI	aim(s)	<u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.				
4a)	Of the	above claim(s) is/a	re withdrawn from co	onsideration.			
5) <u></u> CI	aim(s) _	is/are allowed.					
. 6)⊠ CI	aim(s) <u>1</u>	<u>/-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)□ CI	aim(s) _	is/are objected to.					
-		are subject to restri	ction and/or election i	requirement.			
Application —	_						
•	•	cation is objected to by th		1	<b>.</b>		
, —		g(s) filed on is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
· —		•			ipproved by the Examin	ier.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
		l.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	by the Examiner.				
_		dgment is made of a clain	a for foreign priority w	nder 35 II S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)		
· —		Some * c)☐ None of:	Tior foreign priority u	nder 00 0.0.0. 3 1	10(a) (a) 51 (1).		
•		tified copies of the priority	documents have be	en received			
2.		tified copies of the priority			lication No		
		pies of the certified copies				Stage	
	•	application from the Internaction ached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		- Clago	
14) <u></u> Ack	nowledg	gment is made of a claim	for domestic priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisiona	I application).	
•	_	ranslation of the foreign la gment is made of a claim		• •			
Attachment(s)		<u> </u>			•		
1) Notice of Notice of	f Referend f Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (I sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			nmary (PTO-413) Paper No rmal Patent Application (PT		
U.S. Patent and Trade	mark Office			<del></del>		······································	

Application/Control Number: 09/905,550

Art Unit: 3653

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For clarity and/or definiteness, it appears that "splitting" and "splitter" (throughout the claims) should be changed to -slitting—and -slitter--, respectively, for consistency, that "assemble" (claim 20, line 3) should be -assembly--.

In claim 6, which structure is performing the glue application?

In claim 7, is the papermaking machine being claimed? If yes, then the scope of the claims must be changed.

In claim 9, is the unwinder being claimed? If yes, then the scope of the claims must be changed.

The following appear to lack sufficient antecedent basis (in the claim): "said websevering devices" (claim 14).

All terms such as "it", "its", "they", "their", "them", etc., should be clarified. For instance, see claim(s) 16 and 20.

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Stefanoni (US 5217177).

Application/Control Number: 09/905,550

Art Unit: 3653

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stefanoni (US 5217177) in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

Stefanoni discloses an apparatus having substantially all the claimed features. Applicant's admitted prior art discussed on pages 1-2 of the specification discloses well-known features such as the papermaking machine. Steps such as the application of glue, passing the web with a drawing nip, using a flying change unwinder are old and well known in the art and the use of such would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to obtain the same functions. The slitting assemblies of Stefanoni are deemed to be adjustable, or alternatively, to make them adjustable, which is old and well known in the art, would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide for adjustability to obtain the desired web widths.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 2 PM to 10 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Walsh, can be reached on (703) 306-4173. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,550

Art Unit: 3653

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

J.L. Q. Myy

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3653